1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 1:13-cv-00108 LJO GSA (PC) ALBERT LEE HOOD, 12 Plaintiff. ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL 13 v. (Document# 14) 14 MARGARET MIMS, et al., 15 Defendant(s). 16 On July 7, 2014, plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff 17 does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v. Rowland, 113 18 19 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the Southern 20 District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in certain 21 exceptional circumstances the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 22 section 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525. 23 Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the court will seek 24 volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether 25 "exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success 26

complexity of the legal issues involved." Id. (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

27

28

of the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims pro se in light of the

In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. At this stage in the proceedings, the court cannot make a determination that plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits. None of the defendants have been served with process or appeared in the case. Based on the record in this case, the court does not find that plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims. Further, the legal issues in this case – whether defendants denied plaintiff adequate medical care – are not complex, and this court is faced with similar cases almost daily. Therefore, plaintiff's motion shall be denied without prejudice to renewal of the motion at a later stage of the proceedings. For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY DENIED, without prejudice. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **July 11, 2014** /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE