1 2	Jeremy T. Naftel, State Bar No. 185215 jnaftel@cdflaborlaw.com Joel Van Parys, State Bar No. 227387			
3	jvanparys@cdflaborlaw.com CAROTHERS DISANTE & FREUDENBERGER LLP			
4	900 University Avenue Suite 200			
5	Sacramento, California 95825 Telephone: (916) 361-0991 Facsimile: (916) 570-1958			
6				
7	Attorneys for Defendant ASSET MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST, INC.			
8	Daniel F. Gaines, State Bar No. 251488 daniel@gaineslawfirm.com Alex P. Katofsky, State Bar No. 202754 alex@gaineslawfirm.com CADJES & CADJES ADJES			
9				
	GAINES & GAINES, APLC 21550 Oxnard Street, Suite 980			
	Woodland Hills, California 91367 Telephone: (818) 703-8985 Facsimile: (818) 703-8984			
12				
13 14	Attorneys for Plaintiff JESUS GUTIERREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, FRESNO			
16	EASTERN DISTRICT OF	CALIFORNIA FRESNO		
16		,		
17	JESUS GUTIERREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public,) Case No. 1:13-CV-00149-LJO-GSA)) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO		
17	JESUS GUTIERREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the) Case No. 1:13-CV-00149-LJO-GSA		
17 18	JESUS GUTIERREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public,) Case No. 1:13-CV-00149-LJO-GSA) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO) REMAND TO SUPERIOR COURT OF		
17 18 19	JESUS GUTIERREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public, Plaintiff, vs. ASSET MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST, INC., a) Case No. 1:13-CV-00149-LJO-GSA) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO) REMAND TO SUPERIOR COURT OF		
17 18 19 20	JESUS GUTIERREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public, Plaintiff, vs.) Case No. 1:13-CV-00149-LJO-GSA) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO) REMAND TO SUPERIOR COURT OF		
17 18 19 20 21	JESUS GUTIERREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public, Plaintiff, vs. ASSET MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST, INC., a Nevada corporation, and DOES 1 through 10,) Case No. 1:13-CV-00149-LJO-GSA) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO) REMAND TO SUPERIOR COURT OF		
17 18 19 20 21 22	JESUS GUTIERREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public, Plaintiff, vs. ASSET MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST, INC., a Nevada corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,) Case No. 1:13-CV-00149-LJO-GSA) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO) REMAND TO SUPERIOR COURT OF		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JESUS GUTIERREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public, Plaintiff, vs. ASSET MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST, INC., a Nevada corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants.) Case No. 1:13-CV-00149-LJO-GSA) STIPULATION AND ORDER TO) REMAND TO SUPERIOR COURT OF		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JESUS GUTIERREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public, Plaintiff, vs. ASSET MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST, INC., a Nevada corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants.	Case No. 1:13-CV-00149-LJO-GSA STIPULATION AND ORDER TO REMAND TO SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA FOR FRESNO COUNTY O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	JESUS GUTIERREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public, Plaintiff, vs. ASSET MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST, INC., a Nevada corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between as follows:	Case No. 1:13-CV-00149-LJO-GSA STIPULATION AND ORDER TO REMAND TO SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA FOR FRESNO COUNTY O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	JESUS GUTIERREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public, Plaintiff, vs. ASSET MANAGEMENT SPECIALIST, INC., a Nevada corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between as follows:	Case No. 1:13-CV-00149-LJO-GSA STIPULATION AND ORDER TO REMAND TO SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA FOR FRESNO COUNTY ceen the parties, through their counsel of record, ounty Superior Court, Case No. 12CECG03577		

1	Defendants believe they have an objectively reasonable basis for removal. That said, in an effort to		
2	avoid the expense, time and resources needed to contest remand, the parties submit this Stipulation		
3	and jointly request that the Court enter an Order to have this matter remanded to the Superior Court		
4	of California for the County of Fresno. Given the parties' agreement to have this matter remanded		
5	to state court, Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel also agree that they will not seek an award of fees or		
6	costs against Defendants relating to Defendants' removal of this matter.		
7			
8	Dated: August 5, 2013	CAROTHERS DISANTE & FREUDENBERGER LLP	
9			
10		By: /s/ Jeremy T. Naftel Jeremy T. Naftel	
11		Attorneys for Defendant Asset Management Specialist, Inc.	
12		Asset Wanagement Specialist, Inc.	
13	Dated: August 5, 2013	GAINES & GAINES, APLC	
14	Dated. Magast 3, 2013	Grandes & Grandes, raile	
15		By: /s/ Daniel F. Gaines (authorized on August 5, 2013)	
16		Daniel F. Gaines Attorneys for Plaintiff	
17		Jesus Gutierrez	
18			
19	ORDER		
20	Based on the above stipulation, IT IS HEREBY ordered that this case be remanded to the		
21	Superior Court of California for the County of Fresno. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close		
22	this case.		
23			
24			
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
26	Dated: August 6, 2013	/s/ Gary S. Austin	
27		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
28			
		2 STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER TO	