

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 ANDRE WHITE, JR.,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 ZUNIGA, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

Case No. 1:13-cv-00203 DAD DLB PC

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO MODIFY DISCOVERY AND
SCHEDULING ORDER

(Document 34)

17 Plaintiff Andre White Jr. ("Plaintiff") is a prisoner in the custody of the California
18 Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis
19 in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

20 Pursuant to the March 10, 2015, Discovery and Scheduling Order, the deadline to file a
21 motion for summary judgment based on exhaustion was June 10, 2015. The dispositive motion
22 deadline is currently January 19, 2016.

23 On December 30, 2015, Defendants filed a motion to modify the Discovery and Scheduling
24 Order. Defendants seek to modify the June 10, 2015, deadline to permit the filing of a motion based
25 on exhaustion by January 19, 2016. The Court deems the matter suitable without an opposition
26 pursuant to Local Rule 230(l).

27 ///

28 ///

1 **DISCUSSION**

2 Modification of the pretrial scheduling order requires a showing of good cause. Fed. R. Civ.
3 P. 16(b)(4). “The schedule may be modified ‘if it cannot reasonably be met despite the diligence of
4 the party seeking the extension.’” Zivkovic v. Southern California Edison Co., 302 F.3d 1080, 1087
5 (9th Cir. 2002) (quoting Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992)).
6 “Although the existence or degree of prejudice to the party opposing the modification might supply
7 additional reasons to deny a motion, the focus of the inquiry is upon the moving party’s reasons for
8 seeking the modification.” Johnson, 975 F.2d at 609. “If the party seeking the modification ‘was
9 not diligent, the inquiry should end’ and the motion to modify should not be granted.” Zivkovic, 302
10 F.3d at 1087 (quoting Johnson, 975 F.2d at 609).

11 Here, Defendants request that the June 10, 2015, deadline for filings motions based on
12 exhausted be extended to coincide with the dispositive motion deadline of January 19, 2016.
13 Defendants’ counsel explains that he was recently added to this action and was asked to evaluate the
14 case for a possible exhaustion challenge. Counsel found grounds for such a motion and states that
15 the motion would be dispositive of the entire case.

16 Given the Ninth Circuit’s instruction to decide exhaustion issues as soon as possible and
17 newly-added counsel’s recent discovery, good cause exists to extend the deadline. Albino v. Baca,
18 747 F.3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2014). Any prejudice to Plaintiff is unlikely because Plaintiff was afforded
19 eight months to conduct discovery, including discovery related to exhaustion. Accordingly,
20 Defendants’ motion is GRANTED and the Discovery and Scheduling Order is MODIFIED to permit
21 motions based on exhaustion to be filed no later than January 19, 2016.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.
23

24 Dated: January 13, 2016

/s/ Dennis L. Beck
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE