

1 On May 5, 2014, Plaintiff filed a document in which he requested a stay of the
2 proceedings. Plaintiff states that he cannot meaningfully or reasonably pursue the litigation
3 under his current conditions of confinement. Plaintiff details four months of what he contends to
4 be retaliatory behavior by prison staff.

5 The Court will not grant an indefinite stay of this action. The Court has explained that
6 Plaintiff's FAC states certain cognizable claims. If Plaintiff chooses to move forward only on the
7 cognizable claims, the next step will simply be completion of service documents for service by
8 the United States Marshal. While filing an amended complaint may be more time-intensive, it
9 does not require a stay. The Court will grant Plaintiff a **forty-five day extension of time** within
10 which to either file an amended complaint, or notify the Court of his willingness to proceed only
11 on the cognizable claims.
12

13 If Plaintiff fails to respond to this order, the Court will recommend that this action be
14 dismissed.

15
16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: May 12, 2014

18 /s/ Dennis L. Beck
19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28