UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRADY K. ARMSTRONG,

Plaintiff,

V.

M.E. SPEARMAN, et al.,

Defendants.

Defendants.

Description:

| Case No.: 1:13-cv-00246-AWI-SAB (PC)
| ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION, DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE RULE 12(B) MOTION TO EXHAUSTION ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES, DENYING MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE OGNIZABLE CLAIM, AND GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART MOTION TO AMEND

| Description:
| Case No.: 1:13-cv-00246-AWI-SAB (PC)
| ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION TO DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE RULE 12(B) MOTION TO EXHAUSTION ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES, DENYING MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE MOTION TO AMEND

| GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART MOTION TO AMEND
| [ECF Nos. 28, 32, 43]

Plaintiff Brady K. Armstrong is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On June 9, 2014, the Magistrate Judge filed a Findings and Recommendations which were served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that Objections to the Findings and Recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. No Objections were filed.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

28 | | ///

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: IT IS S Dated:

1.	The Findings and Recommendations, filed on June 9, 2014, is adopted in full; and
2.	Defendants' unenumerated Rule 12(b) motion for failure to exhaust the administrative
	remedies is DENIED without prejudice;
3.	Defendants' motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) for failure to state a
	cognizable claim for relief is DENIED; and
4.	Plaintiff's motion to amend is granted in part and denied in part and the action shall
	proceed on Plaintiff's amended complaint based on the cognizable claims set forth in
	Magistrate Judge's June 9, 2014, Findings and Recommendations.
O ORDERED. September 4, 2014	
Septe	sember 4, 2014 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE