1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 BRADY K. ARMSTRONG, Case No.: 1:13-cv-00246-AWI-SAB (PC) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION, DENYING 13 v. DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS, AND DIRECTING DEFENDANTS TO FILE A 14 M.E. SPEARMAN, et al., FURTHER RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT WITHIN THIRTY DAYS 15 Defendants. 16 [ECF Nos. 47, 61] Plaintiff Brady K. Armstrong is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights 17 18 action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. 19 On January 14, 2015, the Magistrate Judge filed a Findings and Recommendations which was 20 served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that Objections to the Findings and 21 Recommendations were to be filed within thirty days. No Objections were filed. 22 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a de 23 novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and 24 Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 25 1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed on January 14, 2015, is adopted in full; and 26 27 2. Defendants' motion to dismiss under Rules 12(b)(6) and 12(e) of the Federal Rules of 28 Civil Procedure is DENIED; and

3. Within **thirty** (30) days from the date of service of this order, Defendants shall file a further response to the complaint, including a motion for summary judgment relating to exhaustion of the administrative remedies.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 24, 2015 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE