

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	CAYETANO MELENDEZ,) 1:13-cv-00279-AWI-BAM (PC)
HU	Plaintiff, v. HUNT, et al.,) SUA SPONTE ORDER GRANTING) PLAINTIFF EXTENSION OF TIME TO) FILE OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR) SUMMARY JUDGMENT)
	Defendants.) SIXTY-DAY (60) DEADLINE))

Plaintiff Cayetano Melendez ("Plaintiff") is a prisoner proceeding in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds on Plaintiff's second amended complaint against Defendant Hunt for excessive force, inhumane conditions of confinement and deliberate indifference to serious medical need in violation of the Eighth Amendment, and against Defendants Arriola and Cruz for failure to intervene in violation of the Eighth Amendment.

On December 11, 2015, Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 42.) Plaintiff's opposition to that motion is currently due on or before January 4, 2016. Local Rule 230(1). Plaintiff has not yet filed any opposition.

On December 24, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for emergency appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 43.) Due to the complexities of the matters raised in Defendants' motion for summary judgment and the issues raised by Plaintiff in his motion, the Court is carefully considering Plaintiff's request for the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff's motion may not be resolved by the

Court before the imminent deadline for him to file any opposition to the pending summary judgment motion. Consequently, the Court on its own motion will grant a sixty-day (60) extension of time to Plaintiff to file any opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff is GRANTED an extension of time to file an opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment until no later than sixty (60) days after the date of service of this order. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **December 29, 2015**