1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	LBUBS 2004-C6 STOCKDALE OFFICE	Case No.: 1:13-cv-00294 LJO JLT	
12	LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,		
13	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER GRANTING REQUEST TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE DISMISSAL	
14	TERRY MORELAND, et al.,	(Doc. 34)	
15	Defendants.		
16	On August 27, 2013, the parties filed a stipulation setting forth that they have come to		
17	terms on a settlement and are merely in process of obtaining signatures on the settlement		
18	agreement. (Doc. 32) At that time, they anticipated a stipulated dismissal would be filed by		
19	September 6, 2013. <u>Id</u> . at 1-2. However, the settlement documents have not yet been finalized		
20	for several reasons. (Doc. 34) However, now the parties believe the dismissal can be filed no		
21	later than September 27, 2013. <u>Id</u> . at 3.		
22	Therefore, good cause appearing, the Court GRANTS the parties until September 27,		
23	2013 to file the stipulated dismissal.		
24	<i>///</i>		
25	<i>///</i>		
26	///		
27	<i>///</i>		
28	///		

1

1	Failure to comply with this order may be grounds for the imposition of sanctions on		
2	counsel or the parties who contributed to violation of this order. See Local Rules 110, 160.		
3			
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
5	Dated: September 9, 2013 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston		
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
2425			
25 26			
20 27			
28			
_0			