1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 HUSSEIN ALI KIETTY, Case No.: 1:13-cv-00312-SAB (PC) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING AS MOOT PLAINTIFF'S 13 MOTION FOR FILING INVESTIGATIVE CLAIM v. UNDER WITNESS TESTIMONY AND 14 A. WALKER, et al., VACATING TRIAL PREPARATION 15 Defendants. [ECF No. 84] 16 17 Plaintiff Hussein Ali Kietty is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the parties have consented to the 18 19 jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge. Local Rule 302. 20 On November 28, 2016, Plaintiff filed a motion entitled "Motion Filing For Investigative Claim Under Witness Testimony and Relief Vacating Trial Preparation Under Attorney General for 21 Department of Corrections Continuance With Investigation." Plaintiff seeks to a continuance of the 22 23 trial dates set forth in District Judge Drozd's second scheduling order issued October 3, 2016. Judge 24 Drozd's second scheduling order was vacated on November 22, 2016, when the action was referred 25 and reassigned to the undersigned

Hussein Ali Kietty appeared telephonically in pro per and Monica Anderson, Supervising Deputy

Attorney General, also appeared telephonically on behalf of the defendants.

A hearing was held on this matter on November 29, 2016 at the Trial Scheduling Conference.

26

27

28

In light of the Plaintiff comments at the hearing, the Court found no need for the Defendants to file an opposition. For the reasons stated on the record, the Plaintiff motion is denied as MOOT.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **November 30, 2016**

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE