1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	STEVEN R. EDWARDS,	1:13-cv-00345-AWI-MSJ (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
13	V.	
14	D. CABRAL, et al,	(ECF No. 31)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On January 30, 2014, Plaintiff Steven R. Edwards ("Plaintiff") filed a motion	
18	seeking the appointment of counsel.	
19	Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action	
20	Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the Court cannot require an	
21	attorney to represent plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United	
22	States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989).	
23	In certain exceptional circumstances the Court may request the voluntary	
24	assistance of counsel pursuant to section 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525	
25	However, without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the	
26	Court will seek volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. Ir	
27	determining whether "exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate	
28	both the likelihood of success of the merits <sub>1</sub> [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate	

his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." <u>Id.</u> (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

In the present case, the Court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even if it is assumed that Plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This Court is faced with similar cases almost daily. Further, at this early stage in the proceedings, the Court cannot make a determination that Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits, and based on a review of the record in this case, the Court does not find that Plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims.

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel is DENIED, without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 6, 2014 Isl Michael J. Seng
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE