1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	WESTCHESTER SURPLUS LINES	Case No. 1:13-CV-00383-LJO-BAM	
11	INSURANCE COMPANY		
12	Plaintiff, v.		
13			
14	STRONGTOWER FINANCIAL INC., a California Corporation; DEANNA L.		
15	BUCHHOLZ, an individual; ERIC HIRSCHFIELD, an individual; JAMES WINTER		
16	an individual; KATHERINE S. WESTLAKE an	JUDGMENT	
17	individual; RAYMOND K. JONES an individual; ANA GONZALEZ, an individual and trustee of		
18	Gonzalez Trust UAD 5-29-07 and the Estate of Cesar T. Gonzalez; VICTOR MICHELS, an		
19	individual, JEFFREY S. RAYNES, an individual		
20	and as trustee of the Jeffrey S. Raynes Defined Benefit and 401K Profit Sharing Plan and Trust;		
21	RANDI ARMSTRONG, an individual, AND KATHERINE A. GARRETT, an individual; and		
22	DOES 1-250		
23	Defendants		
25			
26			
27		on October 28, 2014 (Doc. 107), judgment	
28	shall be entered between Plaintiff, Westchester Surplus Lines Insurance Company		
	("Westchester") and Defendant, Strongtower Financial Inc. ("Strongtower") as follows:		
	1		

JUDGMENT

It is adjudicated, judged and decreed that:

- 1. The Wrongful Acts set forth in the Notice of Potential Claims letter and all other Wrongful Acts alleged against Strongtower and its employees and representatives up to the present date constitute Interrelated Wrongful Acts and all Claims arising out of such Interrelated Wrongful Acts including, but not limited to, the Raynes, Michels, Garrett, and Gonzalez Claims, constitute a single Claim made during the First Policy period and not a Claim made under the Second Policy regardless of when actually made or reported.
- 2. Under the First Policy, all **Loss** resulting from a single **Claim** is a single **Loss** and subject to a limit of \$500,000 including **Defense Costs**.
- 3. All **Claims** under the First Policy arising out of **Interrelated Wrongful Acts** are subject to a single limit of \$500,000 including **Defense Costs**.
- 4. Westchester has fully and completely exhausted its per **Claim** limit of the First Policy. The First Policy has expired and Westchester has no further obligations under the First Policy.
- 5. Other than Claims which allege Wrongful Acts which are Interrelated Wrongful Acts with the Wrongful Acts set forth in the Notice of Potential Claims letter sent during the First Policy Term, no Claims were made and reported to Westchester during the Second Policy term or thereafter.
- 6. Other than notices of potential Claims which allege Wrongful Acts which are Interrelated Wrongful Acts with the Wrongful Acts set forth in the Notice of Potential Claims letter sent during the First Policy term, no notice was given to Westchester of potential Claims or Wrongful Acts during the Second Policy term or thereafter.
- 7. The Second Policy has expired and Westchester has no further obligations under the Second Policy.
- 8. Westchester has no duty to defend or indemnify any **Claims** until the **Self Insured Retention** applicable to each such **Claim** is paid by the **Insureds** against whom such

1	Claim is made and may not be paid on any Insured's behalf by any person o		
2		organization.	
3	9.	Each party shall bear its own	costs and attorney's fees.
4		ODDEDED	
5	IT IS SO	ORDERED.	
6	Dated:	November 5, 2014	/s/Barbara A. McAuliffe
7			UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			