1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6 7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JONATHAN HILL,	1:13-cv-00386-EPG-PC
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
13	vs.	ATTENDANCE OF INCARCERATED WITNESS, WITHOUT PREJUDICE (ECF No. 55.) ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME (ECF No. 65.)
14	C/O J. CLARK and	
15	C/O A. RIVAS,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18		
19	Plaintiff, a state prisoner, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1983 while	
20	proceeding <i>pro se</i> . (ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff is now proceeding with counsel. <sup>1</sup> This case is	
21	scheduled for trial before Magistrate Judge Erica P. Grosjean on April 12, 2016. <sup>2</sup>	
22	I. MOTION FOR ATTENDANCE OF INCARCERATED WITNESS	
23	On September 10, 2015, while proceeding <i>pro se</i> , Plaintiff filed a motion for attendance	
24	of an incarcerated witness at trial. (ECF No. 55.) At the conference dated November 19-20,	
25	2015, the Court and Plaintiff's new counsel discussed issues surrounding this witness and	
26 27	<sup>1</sup> On November 23, 2015, the Court appointed Douglas L. Gordon and Aida S. Macedo of Miles, Sears & Eanni to represent Plaintiff. (ECF No. 64.)	
28	<sup>2</sup> The parties have consented to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. 636(c).	
	1	

Plaintiff agreed to speak with the witness and renew the motion at a later time if needed. Therefore, the Court shall deny Plaintiff's motion, without prejudice to renewal of the motion through counsel.

4

1

2

3

## II. MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

On November 30, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for extension of time to meet deadlines established by the Court's pretrial order of November 3, 2015. (ECF No. 65.) Pursuant to the pretrial order, the deadline for the parties to file motions in limine was November 23, 2015, and the parties had ten days in which to file objections to the pretrial order. Plaintiff requests extension of these two deadlines.

Plaintiff's motion for extension of time is dated November 17, 2015, indicating that he prepared the motion before the telephonic hearing in this case was held on November 19-20, 2015. During the telephonic hearing, the deadline to file motions in limine was changed from November 23, 2015 to January 17, 2016. (ECF No. 63.) Therefore, Plaintiff's motion for extension of that deadline is moot. Moreover, Plaintiff may not file motions by himself now that he is represented by counsel. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion for extension of time shall be DENIED.

## III. **CONCLUSION**

Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion for attendance of an incarcerated witness at trial, filed on September 10, 2015, is DENIED without prejudice, and
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for extension of time, filed on November 30, 2015, is DENIED.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 4, 2015

Is/ Erici P. Group