1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
7		
8	LINITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GERALD L. TUCKER,	) Case No.: 1:13-cv-00400-BAM PC
12	Plaintiff,	) ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO APPOINT
13	V.	) COUNSEL
14	PHILLIP J. CLINE, et al.,	) (ECF Nos. 12, 15)
15	Defendants.	)
16		)
17	On April 17, 2013, plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 12.)	
18	On April 22, 2013, plaintiff filed a second motion seeking the appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 17.)	
19	Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, <u>Rand v. Rowland</u> , 113	
20	F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff	
21	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). <u>Mallard v. United States District Court for the Southern District</u>	
22	of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in certain exceptional	
23	circumstances the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to section	
24	1915(e)(1). <u>Rand</u> , 113 F.3d at 1525.	
25	Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the court will seek	
26	volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether	
27	"exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success of the	
28		
		1

1	merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the
2	legal issues involved." Id. (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even if it is assumed that plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This court is faced with similar cases almost daily. Further, at this early stage in the proceedings, the court cannot make a determination that plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits, and based on a review of the record in this case, the court does not find that plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims. Id.

For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motions for the appointment of counsel are HEREBY DENIED without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 29, 2013

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE