(PC) Tucker v. Cline, et al.			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	GERALD L. TUCKER,		
11	Plaintiff,	No. 2:13-cv-0279 KJM DAD P	
12	vs.		
13	PHILLIP CLINE et al.,		
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>	
15	/		
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant		
17	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has not filed a request to proceed in forma pauperis. In his		
18	complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged violations		
19	took place in Tulare County, which is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District		
20	Court for the Eastern District of California. See Local Rule 120(d).		
21	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in		
22	the proper division of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper		
23	division of the court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the		
24	court.		
25	/////		
26	/////		
		1	

Doc. 6

1	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern	
3	District of California sitting in Fresno; and	
4	2. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and	
5	shall be filed at:	
6	United States District Court	
7	Eastern District of California 2500 Tulare Street	
8	Fresno, CA 93721	
9	DATED: March 18, 2013.	
10	āā.	
11	Dale A. Dage DALE A. DROZD	
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
13	DAD:9 tuck0279.22	
14	tuck02/7.22	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		