

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OSMAN NURI OZSUSAMLAR,

 Petitioner,

 v.

PAUL COPENHAVER, Warden, et al.,

 Respondents.

Case No. 1:13-cv-00422-SAB-HC

ORDER REGARDING PETITIONER’S
MOTION TO COMPEL COPIES
[ECF NO. 26]

ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT
TO SERVE COPY OF RESPONSE ON
PETITIONER

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF
TIME TO FILE TRAVERSE

Petitioner is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241.

On December 6, 2013, Petitioner filed a vague motion in which it appears he is stating his copy of Respondent’s answer that was served on or about August 29, 2013, was lost or destroyed. Petitioner states he requires a copy in order to file a traverse. He also requests additional time to file a traverse. Respondent did not file an opposition to the motion. In the interest of judicial efficiency, the Court will direct the Clerk of Court to serve a copy of the response on Petitioner. In addition, Petitioner will be granted an extension to file a traverse.

Petitioner also raises numerous other vague claims concerning the conditions of his confinement. He complains of retaliation and harassment by prison staff, and he claims that he should be held in a lower security prison. Such complaints are not proper in a habeas

1 proceeding. Rather, a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 is the proper method for a
2 prisoner to challenge the conditions of his confinement. McCarthy v. Bronson, 500 U.S. 136,
3 141-42 (1991).

4 **ORDER**

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 6 1) The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to serve a copy of Respondent's answer [ECF No.
7 19] on Petitioner;
- 8 2) Petitioner is GRANTED thirty (30) days to file a traverse;
- 9 3) Any other remaining request is DENIED.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: January 13, 2014

12 
13 _____
14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE