mons et al v	Quest Diagnostics Clinical Laboratories, Inc. et al		Doc. 59
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10 11	DOROTHEA EMMONS and LISA STAPLETON, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly	) Case No. Case No. 1:13-cv-00474-AWI-BAM	
12	situated, and as aggrieved employees,	) ) ORDER THEREON GRANTING	
13	Plaintiffs,	) STIPULATION REGARDING FURTHER ) PROCEEDINGS ON REMOVAL AND	l l
14	V.	) <b>REMAND</b>	
15	QUEST DIAGNOSTICS CLINICAL LABORATORIES, INC., a Delaware corporation; QUEST DIAGNOSTICS	) )	
16	INCORPORATED, doing business as QUEST DIAGNOSTICS INCORPORATED OF	, ) )	
17 18	NEVADA, a Nevada corporation; QUEST DIAGNOSTICS NICHOLS INSTITUTE, a California corporations; DOES 1 through 10,	) )	
19	inclusive,	) ) )	
20	Defendants.	) )	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
ACTIVE 207510681	v.1		
	[PROPOSED] SC	HEDULING ORDER  Dockets.J	ustia.com

## **ORDER**

In view of the parties' stipulation, and good cause existing therefore, the Court hereby orders as follows:

- a) Defendants may file an amended notice of removal along with supplemental evidence supporting jurisdiction by June 30, 2015;
- b) If after evaluating Defendants amended notice Plaintiffs continue to challenge jurisdiction, they will file a motion for remand by July 21, 2015;
- c) Plaintiffs motion for remand, if filed, will proceed as a regular motion pursuant to Local Rule 230(c) and the Court's scheduling calendar, with Defendants having the opportunity to submit an opposition to the motion and Plaintiffs having the opportunity to submit a reply in connection with the motion in accordance with the applicable rules and standards.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>May 11, 2015</u>

/s/Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE