IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
ROBERT M. TESSIER, 1:13-cv-00482-GSA (HC)
ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
Petitioner, THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
VS.
C. WOFFORD,
Respondent.
/
Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28
U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.
The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity
jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants
reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions
giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is
situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which
the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
In this case, the petitioner is challenging a conviction from Los Angeles County, which is in the
Central District of California. Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the United States District

1	Court for the Central District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a case
2	filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d
3	918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).
4	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States
5	District Court for the Central District of California.
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	Dated:April 15, 2013/s/ Gary S. AustinUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2