- Case 1:13-cv-00515-JLT Document 6 Filed 05/10/13 Page 2 of 4 4. The Social Security Act provides that an individual should be considered disabled if that person is unable to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than twelve months. 5. Daniel Hernandez Olvera is, and at all times relevant to this action, disabled as that term is defined in the Social Security Act. 6. Daniel Hernandez Olvera filed concurrent applications for disability insurance benefits and supplemental security income alleging disability in accordance with the legal requirements of the Social Security Act. The Commissioner denied the applications initially and upon reconsideration.
  - 7. Daniel Hernandez Olvera timely requested and participated in a hearing before an administrative law judge. The ALJ issued a decision denying plaintiff's claim for benefits.

- 8. Thereafter, Daniel Hernandez Olvera timely filed a request for review of the ALJ's decision with the Appeals Council. On January 25, 2013, the Appeals Council denied the request for review, at which time the ALJ's decision became the final decision of the Commissioner. On March 14, 2013, plaintiff's counsel requested an extension of time in which to commence a civil action, Exhibit 1.
- 9. A party may obtain judicial review of the Commissioner's final decision by commencing a civil action in federal court "within sixty days after the mailing to him of notice of such decision or within such further time as the Secretary may allow." 42 U.S.C. § 405(g); 20 C.F.R. § 404.981.
- 10. Although the Appeals Council has yet to act on the request for an extension, this court has jurisdiction because the sixty-day time limit is not jurisdictional, but is instead a statute of limitation which the Secretary may waive.

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26 Banta v. Sullivan, 925 F.2d 343, 345 (9th Cir.1991) citing Weinberger v. Salfi, 422 U.S. 749, 763-64, 95 S.Ct. 2457, 2466, 45 L.Ed.2d 522, 537-38 (1975).

- 11. The Appeals Council has not indicated whether the it will grant the request for an extension, however, the failure to file within the sixty-day time limit is an affirmative defense, which "is properly raised in a responsive pleading." Vernon v. Heckler, 811 F.2d 1274, 1278 (9th Cir.1987) (citing Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(c)), therefore, this complaint is properly before the Court.
- 11. Pursuant to Social Security Act, Daniel Hernandez Olvera files this action to seek judicial review of the Commissioner's decision and requests that this court reverse that decision, or in the alternative, to remand this matter for a new hearing on the following grounds:
- (a) There is no substantial medical or vocational evidence in the record to support the legal conclusion of plaintiff is not disabled within the meaning of the Act;
- (b) There is no substantial evidence in the record to support the Commissioner's finding that plaintiff could perform any substantial gainful activity;
- (c) The evidence in the record supports only the finding that plaintiff is disabled and has been continuously disabled as that term is defined in the Social Security Act at all times relevant to plaintiff's application;
- (d) New and material evidence for which good cause exists for failure to submit earlier exists and warrants a remand of this matter for further proceedings.
- WHEREFORE, plaintiff Daniel Hernandez Olvera prays for judgment against the Commissioner of Social Security as follows:
- 1. That this court reverse and set aside the decision of defendant denying Daniel Hernandez Olvera's claim for disability benefits;

## Case 1:13-cv-00515-JLT Document 6 Filed 05/10/13 Page 4 of 4

1	2. That this court find that plaintiff Daniel Hernandez Olvera has been
2	disabled at all times relevant to the application for a period of disability and
3	disability insurance and Supplemental Security Income benefits;
4	3. In the alternative, that this court remand the matter for a new hearing or
5	new proceedings as appropriate;
6	4. For all costs of suit incurred herein;
7	5. For reasonable attorney's fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act; and
8	6. For such other and further relief as the court may deem just and proper.
9	DATE: May 9, 2013 Respectfully submitted,
10	LAW OFFICES OF LAWRENCE D. ROHLFING
11	/S/ Brian C. Shapiro
12	BY: Brian C. Shapiro
13	Attorney for plaintiff DANIEL HERNANDEZ OLVERA
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

LAW OFFICES OF

## Case 1:13-cv-005 LAWRENCED DIROH FIFT NO 10/13 Page 1 VO PAPLE BY APPOINTMENT AT:

LAWRENCE D. ROHLFING MARC V. KALAGIAN

DENISE BOURGEOIS HALEY YOUNG CHO BRIAN C. SHAPIRO, M.A. LAURA LACKEY-KRANK STEVEN G. ROSALES CYRUS SAFA VIJAY J. PATEL

RONALD L. SIEVERS, of counsel BARBARA GEDANKE, retired

12631 East Imperial Highway, Suite C-115 Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670

TELEPHONE (562)868-5886 FACSIMILE (562)868-5491 E-MAIL rohlfing.office@rohlfinglaw.com http://www.californiasocialsecurityattorney.com

March 14, 2013

Rohlfing & Kalagian, LLP
211 East Ocean Boulevard, Suite 420
Long Beach, CA 90802
TELEPHONE: ((562)437-7006
FACSIMILE: (562)432-2935
E-MAILrk\_sslaw@speakeasy.net
http://rksslaw.com

Law Offices of Lawrence D. Rohlfing 525 B Street, 15th Floor San Diego, California 92101 TELEPHONE: (619)858-4760 FACSIMILE: (562) 868-8868

PLEASE RESPOND TO SANTA FE SPRINGS

Appeals Council, Branch 3 Office of Disability Adjudication and Review 5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1305 Falls Church, VA 22041-3255

## SENT BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION TO (703)605-7011

Re: Daniel Hernandez Olvera

SSN: -7835

Dear Appeals Council, Branch 3:

This letter serves to request a 30 day extension of the time in which to commence a civil action in the United States District Court for the above matter. I just received the referral of this case and Mr. Olvera is in the process of retaining me. I need additional time to review Mr. Olvera's claim and prepare the appropriate documents. Please grant me an additional 30 days in which to review and prepare the appropriate documentation.

Thank you for your attention and cooperation. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours, Brian C. Shapiro Brian C. Shapiro Attorney at Law

BCS:sf

Cc: Daniel Hernandez Olvera