1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RAMIRO RAMIREZ,) Case No.: 1:13-cv-00520 LJO JLT
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER CONTINUING SCHEDULINGCONFERENCE
13	v.)
14	LISA HAAGSMA, et al.,)
15	Defendants.))
16))
17		
18	On April 10, 2012, Plaintiffs filed the instant action. (Doc. 2) The next day, the Court issued	
19	its order setting the mandatory scheduling conference to occur on July 25, 2012. (Doc. 7) In its order	
20	setting the mandatory scheduling conference, the Court advised counsel:	
21	served with the summons and complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff(s) shall diligently pursue service of summons and complaint and dismiss those defendants against whom plaintiff(s) will not pursue claims. Plaintiff(s) shall promptly file proofs of service of the summons and complaint so the Court has a record of service. Counsel are referred to F.R.Civ.P., Rule 4 regarding the requirement of timely service of the complaint. Failure to timely serve summons and complaint may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of unserved defendants.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	(Doc. 7 at 1-2, emphasis added) Despite this warning, Plaintiffs have not filed proof of service of the	
27	summons and complaint nor have Defendants appeared.	
28		

Therefore, the Court **ORDERS**, The scheduling conference, currently set on July 25, 2013, is continued to September 17, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. at the United States Courthouse at 510 19th Street, Bakersfield, CA. Plaintiffs are reminded of their service obligations under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. 2. Failure to comply may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of unserved defendants. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **July 8, 2013**