1	B. CLYDE HUTCHINSON, State Bar No. 037526			
2	bch@llcllp.com VINCENT CASTILLO, State Bar No. 209298 vcastillo@llcllp.com KARA A. ABELSON, State Bar No. 279320 kabelson@llcllp.com LOMBARDI, LOPER & CONANT, LLP Lake Merritt Plaza			
3				
4				
5	1999 Harrison Street, Suite 2600 Oakland, CA 94612-3541			
6	Telephone: (510) 433-2600 Facsimile: (510) 433-2699			
7	Attorneys for Defendant NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION (AMTRAK)			
8				
9				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA—FRESNO DIVISION			
12				
13	CHARLES STINES; JANET R. STINES	Case No. 1:13-CV-00522-LJO-MJS		
14 15	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY AND DISPOSITIVE MOTION DEADLINES		
	VS.	DEADLINES		
16	"AMTRAK" aka NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER			
17	CORPORATION and DOES 1 THROUGH 100 INCLUSIVE			
18	Defendants.			
19 20				
21	<u>STIPULATION</u>			
22	Plaintiffs Charles Stines and Janet R. Stines and Defendant National Railroad Passenger			
23	Corporation ("Amtrak"), by and through their attorneys of record, hereby stipulate to extend the			
24	deadlines set by the Court in its Scheduling Order dated October 17, 2013. This stipulation shall			
25	not affect the pre-trial conference and trial dates.			
26	Good cause exists for the requested extensions because plaintiffs have not yet provided			
27	authorizations for the release of pertinent medical records, which are necessary to obtain prior to			
28	taking their depositions. This has required the parties to postpone the depositions and further $-1 - 1 - 1$			
		Case No. 1:13-CV-00522-LJO-MJS		
	511FULATION AND OKDER TO EXTEND DI	SCOVERY AND DISPOSITIVE MOTION DEADLINES		

written discovery. The lack of available medical records has further delayed expert discovery, as 2 the records are required for expert analysis. Moreover, plaintiffs requested and were granted 3 multiple extensions to respond to written discovery, which has likewise delayed the discovery 4 process.

Although the parties have been diligently working to complete discovery, they will require additional time to engage in both expert and non-expert discovery so that meaningful settlement discussions may occur and the parties may adequately prepare their case for trial, if necessary. Accordingly, additional time is needed to receive and review plaintiffs' complete medical files and to subsequently conduct plaintiffs' depositions. The parties do not anticipate filing dispositive motions in this matter, but do request that the dispositive motion filing deadline and hearing date be continued in accordance with the new discovery deadlines so that the parties have adequate time to prepare a motion for summary judgment or adjudication, if necessary, after the close of all discovery.

Based on the foregoing, the parties stipulate to the following continuances:

1. 15 The deadline to complete non-expert discovery in compliance with Rule 26 shall 16 be extended from February 28, 2014 to April 15, 2014.

17 2. The deadline to serve expert witness disclosures and expert reports in compliance 18 with Rule 26(a)(2)(B) shall be extended from March 14, 2014 to May 2, 2014.

19 3. The deadline to complete all expert discovery, including depositions of expert 20 witnesses, shall be extended from April 28, 2014 to June 16, 2014.

21 4. The deadline to file, serve, and notice all non-dispositive motions shall be 22 extended from April 28, 2014 to June 16, 2014.

5. 23 The deadline to file, serve, and notice all dispositive motions shall be extended 24 from May 28, 2014 to June 30, 2014.

28 13249-42974 KAA 652510.1

25

26

27

- 2 -

Case No. 1:13-CV-00522-LJO-MJS

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY AND DISPOSITIVE MOTION DEADLINES

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1	Dated:	February 14, 2014	DANIEL HARRALSON LAW FIRM
2			By: /s/ Daniel Harralson
3			DANIEL HARRALSON Attorneys for Plaintiffs
4			
5			
6 7	Dated:	February 14, 2014	LOMBARDI, LOPER & CONANT, LLP
8			Den (a) Kann Alaslaan
9			By: /s/ Kara Abelson KARA ABELSON
10			Attorneys for Defendant, NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION
11			
12		OR	DER
13	WHEREAS, good cause exists for the relief requested herein, the Court hereby makes the		
14		ng Stipulation the Order of this Court.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15			
16	IT IS SO	O ORDERED.	
17	Date	ed: <u>February 18, 2014</u>	ls1 Michael J. Seng
18			UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
19 20			
20 21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			3 - Case No. 1:13-CV-00522-LJO-MJS
	STIPU	LATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND DISCO	OVERY AND DISPOSITIVE MOTION DEADLINES