1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	DURRELL ANTHONY PUCKETT,	1:13-cv-00525-AWI-SKO (PC)
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
11	V.	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
12	RONALD VOGEL,	(Doc. 84)
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	On February 25, 2016, plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel.	
16	Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v. Rowland,	
17	113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require an attorney to represent	
18	plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the	
19	Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in certain	
20	exceptional circumstances the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to	
21	section 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525.	
22	Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the court will seek	
23	volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether	
24	"exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success of	
25	the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims pro se in light of the	
26	complexity of the legal issues involved." <u>Id</u> . (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).	
27	In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even	
28	if it is assumed that plaintiff is not well verse	ed in the law and that he has made serious allegations
l	1	

1	which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This court is faced with	
2	similar cases almost daily. Further, at this stage in the proceedings, the court cannot make a	
3	determination that plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits, and based on a review of the record	
4	in this case, the court does not find that plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims. <u>Id</u> .	
5	For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY	
6	DENIED, without prejudice.	
7	ATT ME CO. OR DETECTO	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	Dated: February 26, 2016 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
10	01,1122 8111128 111112 82 82	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	