1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	PUCKETT, et al.,	1:13-cv-00525-AWI-SKO (PC)	
11	Plaintiffs,	ORDER REQUIRING DEFENDANTS TO NOTIFY COURT WHETHER SETTLEMENT	
12	v.	CONFERENCE WOULD BE BENEFICIAL	
13	VOGEL, et al.,	(Doc. 85)	
14	Defendants.	FIFTEEN (15) DAY DEADLINE	
15			
16	Plaintiff, Durrell A. Puckett, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis,		
17	filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on April 12, 2013. This action for		
18	damages is proceeding on Plaintiff's amended complaint against Defendants Sanchez, Vogel, and		
19	Johnson for retaliation in violation of the First Amendment; against Defendants Sanchez, Vogel,		
20	Johnson, Dean, Bolander, Abadia, Lockhart, Zamora, Sisneros, Campos, and Callow for		
21	excessive force in violation of the Eighth Amendment; and against Defendants Sanchez, Vogel,		
22	and Johnson for violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.		
23	On January 26, 2016, Findings and Recommendation issued to deny the motions for		
24	summary judgment of both Plaintiff and Defendant Zamora. (Doc. 83.) On February 25, 2016,		
25	Plaintiff filed a motion requesting a settlement conference be set in this action. (Doc. 85.)		
26	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED, that within <b>fifteen</b> (15) days of the date of		
27	service of this order, Defendants shall notify the Court whether they believe, in good faith, that		
28	settlement is a possibility in this case and wh	ether they are interested in having a settlement	
		1	

1	conference scheduled.	
2		
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4	Dated: February 29, 2016	/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
5		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		2