

1 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 PAUL WELDON,

4 Plaintiff,

5 v.

6 JERRY DYER, et al.,

7 Defendants.

Case No. 1:13-cv-00540-LJO-SAB

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

ECF NO. 79, 86

8
9 On October 24, 2014, the magistrate judge assigned to this action issued a Findings and
10 Recommendations recommending that Defendant’s motion to strike be denied. (ECF No. 86.)
11 The Findings and Recommendations contained notice that any objections were to be filed within
12 thirty (30) days. To date, no party has filed objections to the Findings and Recommendations.

13 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted
14 a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the
15 Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

16 Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 17 1. The October 24, 2014 Findings and Recommendations are ADOPTED IN FULL
18 (ECF No. 86); and
19 2. Defendant’s motion to strike is DENIED.
20

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated: December 3, 2014

/s/ Lawrence J. O’Neill
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE