(PC) Williams v. Bi	C) Williams v. Bigot et al		Doc. 8
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	LINITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COLIDT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9			
10	MICHAEL B. WILLIAMS,	1:13-cv-0556-AWI-MJS (PC)	
11	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS	
12		(ECF No. 4)	
13	MARISSA BIGOT, et al.,		
14	Defendants.		
15			
16	Plaintiff is a civil detainee proceeding pro se in a civil rights action pursuant to 42		
17	U.S.C. § 1983. Individuals detained pursuant to California Welfare and Institutions Code §		
18	6600 et seq. are civil detainees and are not prisoners within the meaning of the Prison		
19	Litigation Reform Act. Page v. Torrey, 201 F.3d 1136, 1140 (9th Cir. 2000).		
20	In the instant action, Plaintiff filed an Application to proceed in forma pauperis. (ECF		
21	No. 4.) Examination of these documents reveals that Plaintiff is unable to afford the costs		
	of this action.		
22	Accordingly, the Application to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 4) is		
23	GRANTED.		
24			
25			
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
27	Dated: May 3, 2013	Isl Michael J. Seng TED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
28	UNIT	TED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
		-1-	