1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ROBERT FELIX and JACK PHELPS, 1:13-cv-00561-LJO-SKO 12 individuals, STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: 13 Plaintiffs, FILING OF AMENDED ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT 14 v. 15 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 16 DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENTAL SERVICES, OFFICE OF PROTECTIVE 17 SERVICES, 18 Defendant. 19 20 Pursuant to the Scheduling Order entered September 16, 2013, the Parties hereby stipulate 21 that Defendant may file an Amended Answer to the First Amended Complaint (FAC) on or 22 before November 15, 2013. The amendment alleges one additional affirmative defense and does 23 not require any modification to the existing scheduling order. Furthermore, the parties agree that 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 28 1 Stipulation and Order Re: Filing of Amended Answer to First Amended Complaint (1:13-cv-00561-LJO-SKO)

1	the amendment is not prejudicial to plaintiffs, not the product of undue delay, not proposed in bad	
2	faith and is not futile.	
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
4	Dated: October 16, 2013	LAW OFFICE OF KEVIN SCHWIN
5		By: /s/ Kevin M. Schwin
6		Kevin Schwin
7		Attorney for Plaintiffs Robert Felix and Jack Phelps
8		•
9	Dated: October 16, 2013	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
10		By: /s/ Mary Horst
11		Mary Horst
12		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendant Department
13		Attorneys for Defendant Department of Developmental Services
14		
15		ORDER
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17	Dated: October 16, 2013	/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
18 19	Butcu. <u>GC00001 10(2010</u>	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Stimulation and Order Rev Filing of Amend	2 led Answer to First Amended Complaint (1:13-cv-00561-LJO-SKO)
	2. The state of th	