1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10 11	TONY BLACKMAN,	CASE No. 1:13-cv-00578-LJO-MJS (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS
13	V.	(ECF No. 2)
14		\$350 FILING FEE DUE WITHIN TWENTY
15 16	JERRY BROWN, Governor of the State of California,	DAYS
10	Defendant.	
18		
19	′	
20		
21	Plaintiff Tony Blackman is a California state prisoner proceeding pro se in a civil	
22	rights action filed April 22, 2013 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF No. 1.) On April 22,	
23		
24	(ECF No. 2.)	
25	(ECF NO. 2.)	
26		
27		
		-1-

The Prison Litigation Reform Act provides that "[i]n no event shall a prisoner bring
a civil action . . . under this section if the prisoner has, on 3 or more occasions, while
incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the
United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to
state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent
danger of serious physical injury." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

8 Court records reveal that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), Plaintiff is ineligible to 9 proceed in forma pauperis and is required to submit the filing fee in full in order to 10 proceed with this action. The Court takes judicial notice of the following cases that 11 qualify as strikes pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g): Blackman v. Hartwell, et al., Case No. 12 1:99-cv-05822-REC-HGB PC (E.D. Cal.) (dismissed March 12, 2001 for failure to state a 13 claim); Blackman v. Medina, Case No. 3:05-cv-05390-SI (N. D. Cal.) (dismissed March 14 15 13, 2006 for failure to state a claim); Blackman v. Variz, Case No. 3:06-cv-06398-SI 16 (N.D. Cal.) (dismissed December 18, 2006 for failure to state a claim); Blackman v. 17 Taxdahl, Case No. 1:04-cv-06389-AWI-NEW (DLB) PC (E. D. Cal.) (dismissed May 18, 18 2007 for failure to state a claim); Blackman v. Evans, et al., Case No. 19 1:06-cv-00081-GSA (E. D. Cal.) (dismissed February 3, 2009 for failure to state a claim). 20 Plaintiff's Complaint alleges that he was denied due process and access to courts 21 22 when prison officials failed to process his inmate grievances and provide documentation 23 supporting his in forma pauperis application(s). Plaintiff is not under imminent danger of 24 serious physical injury, and is required to pay the \$350.00 filing fee before proceeding in 25 this action. 26

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma

27

	pauperis (ECF No. 2) is DENIED. Plaintiff is ordered to pay the \$350.00 filing fee in full	
2	within twenty (20) days from the date of service of this Order. Failure to pay the filing fee	
3	will result in dismissal of this action.	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	Dated: <u>April 24, 2013</u> /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
17 10		
18 19		
20		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
	-3-	