I

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	LOUIS JUAREZ AGUIRRE,	1:13-cv-00604-LJO-DLB (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	
13	vs.		
14	CONNIE GIPSON, et al.,	( 110)	
15	Defendants.	(#10)	
16	/		
17	On June 17, 2013, plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff		
18	does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v. Rowland, 113		
19	F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff		
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the Southern		
21	District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in certain		
22	exceptional circumstances the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to		
23	section 1915(e)(1). <u>Rand</u> , 113 F.3d at 1525.		
24	Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the court will seek		
25	volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether		
26	"exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success		
27	of the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to	of the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims pro se in light of the	
28	complexity of the legal issues involved." Id. (int	ternal quotation marks and citations omitted).	

1	In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even		
2	if it is assumed that plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations		
3	which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This court is faced with		
4	similar cases almost daily. Further, at this early stage in the proceedings, the court cannot make a		
5	determination that plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits, and based on a review of the record		
6	in this case, the court does not find that plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims. <u>Id</u> .		
7	For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY		
8	DENIED, without prejudice.		
9			
10			
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
12	Dated:June 19, 2013/s/ Dennis L. BeckUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-2-		

I