

1 there are no deadlines in this Court's orders thus far. (Id.) However, several orders with
2 deadlines have issued in this case. (See Docs. 9, 10, 15, 16, 17, 21, 26, 28, 32, 34, 36, 38.) As is
3 evidenced by various orders in this case, requests by inmates for extensions of time to comply
4 with orders from this court are routinely granted where there is a showing of good cause. (See
5 Docs. 12, 21, 28, 34, 36, 38.) Further, Plaintiff's argument that deadlines for responding to
6 discovery are not contained in court orders is correct but, of course, most discovery seeks factual
7 information, not the type of information which can be obtained from the law library. However, if
8 it turns out he needs more time to respond to a discovery request, he can apply for that relief to
9 the Court which would result in an order.¹ However, this argument is not yet ripe as the
10 defendants have not been served and answered for a Discovery and Scheduling Order to issue.
11 The most recently issued order directs Plaintiff to submit documents for service within thirty day
12 of the date that it issued, April 28, 2015. (Doc. 45.) Thus, there is a currently pending court
13 deadline that Plaintiff may show prison staff to assist in his access to the law library.

14 The Court recognizes that prison administrators "should be accorded wide-ranging
15 deference in the adoption and execution of policies and practices that in their judgment are
16 needed to preserve internal order and discipline and to maintain institutional security." *Whitley v.*
17 *Albers*, 475 U.S. 312, 321-322 (1986) (quoting *Bell v. Wolfish*, 441 U.S. 520, 547 (1970)). Thus,
18 the Court shall defer to the prison's policies and practices in granting access to the law library and
19 materials to Plaintiff.

20 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for law library access,
21 filed April 23, 2015 (Doc. 44), be DENIED with prejudice.

22
23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: May 12, 2015

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

25
26 _____
27 ¹ On the other hand, this Court currently has before it, literally, thousands of cases involving inmate-litigants who
28 manage to obtain sufficient, though minimal, access to the law library without the Court issuing orders setting forth
discovery response deadlines. In addition, the prison officials—not this Court—is best situated to determine the
priority for inmate access to the law library.