1		
1		
2		
3		
4 5		
6		
0 7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		Case No. 1:13-cv-00695-JLT (PC)
11	KING MWASI,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THE ACTION
12	Plaintiff,	SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE COURT'S ORDER
13	V.	
14	CORCORAN STATE PRISON, Defendant.	(Doc. 49) 30-DAY DEADLINE
15	Derendant.	50-DAT DEADLINE
16		
17	Plaintiff, King Mwasi, is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this	
18	civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On May 27, 2015, the Court granted Plaintiff's motion	
19	and granted him leave to file a third amended complaint within thirty days. (Doc. 49.) Plaintiff's	
20	third amended complaint was due on or before June 26, 2015. Id. Despite lapse of more than the	
21	allowed time, Plaintiff has not filed a third amended complaint.	
22	The Local Rules, corresponding with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11, provide, "[f]ailure of counsel, or	
23	of a party to comply with any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the	
24	Court of any and all sanctions within the inherent power of the Court." Local Rule 110.	
25	"District courts have inherent power to control their dockets," and in exercising that power, a	
26	court may impose sanctions, including dismissal of an action. Thompson v. Housing Authority of	
27	Los Angeles, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action with prejudice,	
28	based on a party's failure to prosecute an	n action or failure to obey a court order, or failure to

1	comply with local rules. See, e.g. Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992)	
2	(dismissal for failure to comply with an order requiring amendment of complaint); Malone v. U.S.	
3	Postal Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987) (dismissal for failure to comply with a court	
4	order); Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for failure to	
5	prosecute and to comply with local rules).	
6	Accordingly, Plaintiff is ORDERED to show cause within thirty (30) days of the date of	
7	service of this Order why the action should not be dismissed for his failure comply with the	
8	Court's order.	
9		
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11	Dated: July 14, 2015 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	