

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CENTRE FOR NEURO SKILLS,
Plaintiff,
vs.
BLUE CROSS OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:13-cv-00743-LJO-JLT
**ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION
TO EXTEND TIME FOR
DEFENDANT S BLUE CROSS AND
ANTHEM TO RESPOND TO FIRST
AMENDED COMPLAINT**
(Doc. 39)

ORDER

Before the Court is the stipulation of the Plaintiff and Defendants Blue Cross of California dba Anthem Blue Cross and Anthem Blue Cross Life and Health Insurance Company to extend the time within which these Defendants would have to respond to the first amended complaint. (Doc. 39) These parties report that they are engaged in settlement discussions and believe that a two-week extension of the time in which the responsive pleading is due, will be sufficient time to determine whether settlement can be achieved. Id. at 2.

Therefore, good cause appearing, the Court **GRANTS** the stipulation. Defendants Blue Cross of California dba Anthem Blue Cross and Anthem Blue Cross Life and Health Insurance Company **SHALL** file their responsive pleading no later

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

than **December 16, 2013.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 4, 2013

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE