

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JULIE CONDON,

Petitioner,

v.

KIMBERLY HUGHES,

Respondent.

Case No. 1:13-cv-00792-GSA-HC

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED**

(ECF Nos. 29, 30, 38)

Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. She has consented to the jurisdiction of the Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).

On May 8, 2014, this Court ordered Respondent to complete and return to the Court within thirty (30) days a Consent/Decline form indicating whether Respondent consents or declines to consent to the jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). Respondent failed to complete and return the Consent/Decline form. On August 18, 2014, this Court again ordered Respondent to complete and return to the Court within thirty (30) days a Consent/Decline form. Over thirty (30) days have passed and Respondent has failed to file her Consent/Decline form.

Local Rule 110 provides that “a failure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Local Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of

1 any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court.”

2 Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED within thirty (30) days of service of this order, to
3 SHOW CAUSE why appropriate sanctions should not be imposed for failing to obey a court
4 order.

5
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 Dated: October 2, 2014

/s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28