

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DURRELL PUCKETT, Plaintiff, v. T. W. STEADMAN, et al., Defendants.	Case No. 1:13-cv-00834-SKO (PC) ORDER DISMISSING ACTION, WITHOUT PREJUDICE, FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE (Doc. 10)
--	--

Plaintiff Durrell Puckett, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on June 3, 2013. On December 31, 2014, the Court issued an order authorizing service of Plaintiff’s amended complaint, and requiring Plaintiff to fill out and return the USM-285 forms and summonses within thirty days. (Doc. 10.) More than thirty days have passed and Plaintiff has not complied with or otherwise responded to the order.

The Court has the inherent power to control its docket and may, in the exercise of that power, impose sanctions where appropriate, including dismissal of the action. *Bautista v. Los Angeles Cnty.*, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000). In determining whether to dismiss an action for failure to comply with a pretrial order, the Court must weigh “(1) the public’s interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court’s need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions.” *In re Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Products*

1 *Liability Litig.*, 460 F.3d 1217, 1226 (9th Cir. 2006) (internal quotations and citations omitted).
2 These factors guide a court in deciding what to do, and are not conditions that must be met in
3 order for a court to take action. *Id.* (citation omitted).

4 Based on Plaintiff's failure to comply with or otherwise respond to the order, this action
5 shall be dismissed. *Id.* This action, which has been pending since 2013, can proceed no further
6 without Plaintiff's cooperation and compliance with the order at issue, and the action cannot
7 simply remain idle on the Court's docket, unprosecuted. *Id.* Accordingly, this action is HEREBY
8 DISMISSED for failure to prosecute, without prejudice.

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 25, 2015

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE