1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP Mark P. Grajski (SBN 178050) Email: mgrajski@seyfarth.com 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 2350 Sacramento, California 95814-4428 Telephone: (916) 448-0159 Facsimile: (916) 558-4839  Attorney for Defendant DEVRY UNIVERSITY, INC.  LAW OFFICES OF PARNELL FOX Parnell Fox (SBN 186519) Email: parnell@parnellfoxlaw.com 4908 Lakewood Court Visalia, California 93291 Telephone: (310) 890-0435 Facsimile: (310) 776-6918		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	EASTERN DISTRIC	I OF CALIFORNIA	
13	LODENA LODEZ	Core No. 1.12 CV 00044 AWI MIC	
14	LORENA LOPEZ,	Case No. 1:13-CV-00844-AWI-MJS	
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE EXPERT DISCOVERY CUTOFF AND PLAINTIFF'S	
16	V.	MOTION TO COMPEL SUPPLEMENTAL DISCOVERY RESPONSES DEADLINE;	
17	DEVRY UNIVERSITY, INC.; an Illinois corporation and DOES 1-100, inclusive,	ORDER	
18	Defendants.	Date Action Filed: March 21, 2013	
19			
20	DeVry University ("Defendant") and Lorena	Lopez ("Plaintiff") (collectively referred to herein	
21	as the "Parties"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:		
22	1. WHEREAS, the deadline to complete expert discovery is July 7, 2014.		
23	2. WHEREAS, the deadline to file discovery motions is July 7, 2014.		
24	3. WHEREAS, the Parties have worked diligently to coordinate and schedule the		
25	depositions of two non-retained healthcare providers of Plaintiff identified in Plaintiff's Expert Witness		
26	Disclosure, Isabel C. Amancio, LMFT, 113 Church Street, #418, Visalia, California, and Shyam		
27	Bhaskar, MD, 231, W. Noble Avenue, Visalia, California 93277;		
28			
	1		

- 4. WHEREAS, Defendant served subpoenas for deposition testimony and production of documents on Ms. Amancio and Dr. Bhaskar for late June 2014 depositions;
- 5. WHEREAS, Dr. Bhaskar advised the Parties that he was completely unavailable prior to this time and, further, that he would out of the country until August 2014.
- 6. WHEREAS, the Parties further believe that the most cost efficient approach to expert depositions in this case would be to take both in a single day, particularly because of Defendant's need to travel from Sacramento to Visalia;
- 7. WHEREAS, the Parties have also worked diligently to meet and confer on written discovery propounded by Plaintiff, including two sets of requests for production of documents and interrogatories. Defendant anticipates supplemental responses will be served by July 15, 2014 and thereafter Plaintiff will need additional time to review and determine if a motion to compel further responses is necessary.
- 8. WHEREAS, the parties have agreed that discovery shall remain open to September 8, 2014 only for the following: (1) Defendant to depose Plaintiff's healthcare experts Shyam Bhaskar, M.D. and Isabel Amancio, MFT; and (2) Defendant to prepare and serve supplemental discovery responses (per Plaintiff's February 3, 2014 and Defendant's February 21, 2014 meet and confer correspondence), and Plaintiff to move to compel such supplemental responses if necessary.
- 9. WHEREAS, extending the deadline for expert discovery and Plaintiff's motion to compel supplemental written discovery responses will not result in a change to subsequent deadlines regarding the January 6, 2015 trial date.

NOW THEREFORE, all Parties hereto stipulate and agree that the Court may enter an Order extending discovery to September 8, 2014 for: (1) Defendant to depose Plaintiff's healthcare experts Shyam Bhaskar, M.D. and Isabel Amancio, MFT; and (2) Defendant to prepare and serve supplemental discovery responses (per Plaintiff's February 3, 2014 and Defendant's February 21, 2014 meet and confer correspondence) and Plaintiff to move to compel such supplemental responses if necessary. Plaintiff reserves the right to file a motion and seek leave for additional discovery subject to the Court's approval and good cause shown.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.	
2	$_{2}$	
3	3	
4	4 DATED: July 2, 2014 Res	pectfully submitted,
5		FARTH SHAW LLP
6	6	
7	7 By:	/s/ Mark P. Grajski
8		Mark P. Graiski
9	9 DE	orney for Defendant VRY UNIVERSITY, INC.
10	0 DATED: July 2, 2014 LAY	W OFFICES OF PARNELL FOX
11	1	
12	2 By:	/s/ Parnell Fox
13	3 Atto	Parnell Fox orneys for Plaintiff RENA LOPEZ
14	4 LOI	RENA LOPEZ
15	5 ORDER	
16		
17	Good cause appearing, the above Stipulation is accepted and adopted as the Order of	
18	8 this Court.	
19	9 IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20		11 o o C C O
21		1 Michael J. Seng
22	2 UNITE	D STATES MÅGISTRATE JUDGE
23	3	
24	4	
25	5	
26	6	
27	7	
28	8	
	3	

17542522v.1