(PC) Lear v. Leftler, et al.

Doc. 27

other named Defendants should be dismissed with prejudice. (F&R's, ECF No. 25, at ¶ V.)

On June 9, 2014, Plaintiff responded to the Findings and Recommendations stating his desire to proceed on cognizable claims against Defendants Leftler, Desousa and Aguayo. (Resp. to F&R's, ECF No. 26, ¶ 1.) Plaintiff did not object to the Findings and Recommendations and the time for doing so has expired. (F&R's, ECF No. 25, at ¶ V.)

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

- The Court adopts the Findings and Recommendations filed on May 20, 2014
  (ECF No. 25), in full,
- Plaintiff shall proceed on the Second Amended Complaint excessive force claim for damages against Defendants Leftler, Desousa and Aguayo,
- All other claims asserted in the Second Amended Complaint and all other
  Defendants named in this action are dismissed with prejudice,
- 4. Service shall be initiated on the following Defendants:
  - a. LEFTLER, CSATF Correctional Officer,
  - b. DESOUSA, CSATF Correctional Officer,
  - c. AGUAYO, CSATF Correctional Officer,
- 5. The Clerk of the Court shall send Plaintiff three (3) USM-285 forms, three (3) summons, a Notice of Submission of Documents form, an instruction sheet and a copy of the Second Amended Complaint filed October 15, 2013,
- 6. Within thirty (30) days from sevice of this Order, Plaintiff shall complete and return to the Court the Notice of Submission of Documents along with the following documents:
  - a. Completed summons,
  - b. One completed USM-285 form for each Defendant listed above,

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

28

- Four (4) copies of the endorsed Second Amended Complaint filed
  October 15, 2013, and
- 7. Upon receipt of the above-described documents, the Court should direct the United States Marshal to serve the above-named Defendants pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 without payment of costs.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 30, 2014

SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE