1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MIRZA FIFIC, Case No. 1:13-cv-00885 DLB PC 12 Plaintiff, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED 13 v. THIRTY-DAY DEADLINE 14 HARTLEY, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 Plaintiff Mirza Fific ("Plaintiff"), a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights 17 action on June 12, 2013. Plaintiff paid the filing fee and is not proceeding pro se. 18 On February 7, 2014, the Court screened his complaint and dismissed it with leave to amend. 19 20 Plaintiff filed his First Amended Complaint ("FAC") on March 4, 2014. 21 On July 31, 2014, the Court screened Plaintiff's FAC and dismissed it with leave to amend. 22 The Court stated that this would be Plaintiff's final opportunity to amend and instructed him to file 23 an amended complaint within thirty (30) days. 24 Over thirty (30) days have passed and Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or 25 otherwise contacted the Court.¹ 26 27 28 ¹ In a March 4, 2014, request for an extension of time, Plaintiff indicated that he was being released from prison in August 2014. However, Plaintiff has not filed a change of address with the Court. Absent such notice, service at a party's prior address is fully effective. Local Rule 182(f).

1	Accordingly, Plaintiff is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE why this action should not be
2	dismissed for failure to follow a Court order and failure to prosecute. Plaintiff shall file a response
3	to this order within thirty (30) days of the date of service.
4	Failure to show cause, or failure to respond to this order, may result in dismissal of this
5	action.
6	
7	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	Dated: September 12, 2014 /s/ Dennis L. Beck
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	