

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
7 **FRESNO DIVISION**

8
9 CHARLOTTE M. ARMSTRONG,) Case No.: 1:13-CV-00895-SAB
10 Plaintiff,)
11 vs.) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS
12 COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,) ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED
13 Defendant.) FOR FAILURE TO FILE OPENING BRIEF
14) (ECF No. 13)
15) RESPONSE DUE WITHIN THIRTY DAYS

16 Plaintiff Charlotte M. Armstrong is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action
17 appealing the denial of Social Security benefits. On January 16, 2014, the Court issued an order
18 amending the scheduling order and directing Plaintiff to file her opening brief on or before June
19 23, 2014. Plaintiff has not filed an opening brief in this action.

20 Based on Plaintiff's failure to comply with the scheduling order, IT IS HEREBY
21 ORDERED that within thirty days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff is to show
22 cause why this action should not be dismissed for her failure to file an opening brief in
23 compliance with the January 16, 2014 amended scheduling order.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: June 25, 2014

26 
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28