1		
1		
2 3		
3 4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAVID ESTRADA,) Case No.: 1:13cv00919 DLB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,) ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
13	v.) REQUEST TO SEAL DOCUMENT)
14	GIPSON, et al.,) (Document 113)
15	Defendants.	,))
16		<u></u>)
17	Plaintiff David Estrada ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis	
18	in this civil rights action. Plaintiff filed his First Amended Complaint on August 7, 2013. Pursuant to	
19	the Court's screening order and Plaintiff's notice of willingness to proceed on the cognizable claims,	
20	this action is proceeding against (1) Defendants Gipson and Espinosa for retaliation in violation of the	
21	First Amendment; and (2) Defendants Gipson, Espinosa, Lambert and Cavazos for violation of the	
22	Eighth Amendment.	
23	The action is currently in discovery.	
24	On November 14, 2014, Plaintiff filed a request that his motion seeking "court ordered	
25	telephone access which is protected from monitoring" be filed under seal.	
26	Filings in cases such as this are a matter of public record absent compelling justification.	
27	United States v. Stoterau, 524 F.3d 988, 1012 (9th Cir. 2008). In this action, the original complaint	
28		

1	and the First Amended Complaint are sealed. Numerous additional filings that set forth allegations in	
2	the First Amended Complaint and/or contain names of specific inmates have also been sealed.	
3	Plaintiff's current motion, however, does not refer to his gang-related allegations in the FAC	
4	and does not name any specific inmates and/or individuals. Instead, the motion relates to Plaintiff's	
5	general fears of retaliation against his family. As there is no compelling justification to seal his	
6	motion, his request to seal is DENIED.	
7		
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	Dated: November 20, 2014 /s/ Dennis L. Beck	
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	