

1 Defendants did not file an opposition and the Court deems the matter suitable for decision
2 pursuant to Local Rule 230(l).

3 **DISCUSSION**

4 Plaintiff moves to compel Correctional Lieutenant G. Hopkins and Sergeant E. Medina to
5 respond to requests for production of documents. According to his attachments, Plaintiff served the
6 discovery on October 23, 2014, and November 5 3, 2014.

7 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34 provides that “[a] party may serve on any other party”
8 requests for production of documents. Therefore, requests for production of documents, as well as
9 other forms of discovery, are limited to the parties in an action. Lt. Hopkins and Sgt. Medina *are not*
10 *parties to this action* and Plaintiff cannot serve them with discovery under Rule 34. The Court
11 therefore cannot compel them to provide responses.

12 Accordingly, Plaintiff’s motion to compel non-parties to respond to his discovery requests is
13 DENIED.

14
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: January 21, 2015

/s/ Dennis L. Beck
17 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE