1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAVID ESTRADA,) Case No.: 1:13cv00919 DLB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	 ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ISSUANCE OF
13	V.) SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM
14	TASSEY, et al.,) (Document 167)
15	Defendants.)
16		_)
17	Plaintiff David Estrada ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis	
18	in this civil rights action. Plaintiff filed his First Amended Complaint on August 7, 2013. Pursuant to	
19 20	the Court's screening order and Plaintiff's notice of willingness to proceed on the cognizable claims,	
20	this action is proceeding against (1) Defendants Gipson and Espinosa for retaliation in violation of the	
21	First Amendment; and (2) Defendants Gipson, Espinosa, Lambert and Cavazos for violation of the	
22 23	Eighth Amendment.	
	Pursuant to the July 17, 2014, Discover	y and Scheduling Order, discovery closed on December
24 25	15, 2014.	
23 26	///	
20	///	
27	///	
20		1
		-

1	Plaintiff has filed numerous untimely requests for subpoenas duces tecum. On February 13,	
2	2015, he filed yet another request. As has been repeatedly explained to Plaintiff, his requests are	
3	untimely because discovery closed on December 15, 2014.	
4	Accordingly, his request is DENIED.	
5		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated: February 18, 2015 /s/ Dennis L. Beck	
8	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	