

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID ESTRADA,)	1:13cv00919 LJO DLB PC
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS
)	AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND
vs.)	DENYING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR
)	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND MOTION FOR
TASSEY, et al.,)	PROTECTIVE ORDER
)	
Defendants.)	(Documents 22 and 23)

Plaintiff David Estrada (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this this civil action. On September 11, 2013, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Injunctive Relief. On September 16, 2013, he filed a Motion for Protective Order. The matters were referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On September 20, 2013, the Magistrate Judge issued [Findings and Recommendations](#) that Plaintiff’s motions be denied. The Findings and Recommendations were served on Plaintiff and contained notice that any objections were to be filed within thirty days. Plaintiff filed [objections](#) on October 15, 2013.

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted
2 a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including Plaintiff's
3 objections, the Court finds that the Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record
4 and by proper analysis.

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 6 1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed September 20, 2013, are ADOPTED in
7 full;
- 8 2. Plaintiff's Motion for Injunctive Relief (Document 22) is DENIED; and
- 9 3. Plaintiff's Motion for Protective Order (Document 23) is DENIED.
10

11
12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13
14 Dated: November 4, 2013

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28