

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 YOUNG YIL JO,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 SIX UNKNOWN NAMES AGENTS, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

1:13-cv-01034-AWI-GSA-PC

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION

17 Plaintiff Young Yil Jo (“plaintiff”) is a prisoner in federal custody at the ICE Processing
18 Center in El Paso, Texas. To date, plaintiff has filed more than 200 civil cases in this district.
19 Twelve of the cases were transferred to the United States District Court for Minnesota because
20 venue was improper in this district.¹ Based on those transfers, plaintiff was placed on notice
21 that cases in which he named as defendants T. C. Outlaw, George W. Bush, Bill Clinton, John
22 Ashcroft, and/or Janet Reno should not be filed in this district because of improper venue.
23

24 ¹ The court takes judicial notice of the court records in CV-F-03-5023 OWW TAG P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-
25 03-5168 REC LJO P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-5281 AWI LJO P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-5544 AWI HGB P Jo v.
26 Outlaw, CV-F-03-5799 REC SMS P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-5913 OWW LJO P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-6115 REC
27 DLB P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-6301 OWW LJO P Jo v. Outlaw, CV-F-03-6519 AWI SMS P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-
28 04-5223 AWI SMS P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-5381 OWW HGB P Jo v. Bush, and CV-F-04-5825 OWW LJO P Jo v.
Bush.

1 Plaintiff is now filing new cases naming "Six Unknown Agents" as defendants.
2 Plaintiff's allegations, however, are the same as those in which he previously named Bush,
3 Clinton, Ashcroft, et al. Plaintiff now resides in El Paso, Texas, which is located in El Paso
4 County, within the Western District of Texas. Therefore, venue in this district is improper. 28
5 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Plaintiff's persistence in filing cases in this district despite the lack of proper
6 venue is in bad faith and is vexatious.² For this reason, the court declines to transfer this case
7 and shall instead dismiss it, without prejudice. Costlow v. Weeks, 790 F.2d 1486, 1488 (9th
8 Cir. 1986) (court may raise defective venue *sua sponte*).

9 Accordingly, this action is HEREBY ORDERED DISMISSED, without prejudice, for
10 lack of venue.

11
12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13
14 Dated: July 9, 2013


15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

23
24
25
26
27
28
² As further evidence of plaintiff's bad faith and vexatiousness, the court takes judicial notice of the court records in case numbers CV-F-04-5298 AWI SMS P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-5336 REC LJO P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-5540 OWW SMS P Jo v. Holderman, CV-F-04-5800 OWW WMW P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-5865 AWI WMW P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-5934 REC SMS P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-5962 REC DLB P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-5985 OWW LJO P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6064 AWI WMW P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6087 AWI DLB P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6273 AWI LJO P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6356 OWW SMS P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6411 AWI WMW P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6521 OWW DLB P Jo v. Bush, CV-F-04-6543 OWW DLB P Jo v. Bush, and CV-F-04-6576 AWI DLB P Jo v. Bush. These sixteen cases were dismissed on the same ground that the instant action is dismissed - lack of venue where plaintiff is already on notice that venue is incorrect in this district.