1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 A.A. and L.A. on behalf of A.A., Jr., **CASE NO. 1:13-CV-1043 AWI MJS** 7 ORDER SETTING OUT SCHEDULE Plaintiffs, FOR ADDITIONAL BRIEFING 8 v. 9 **CLOVIS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, CLOVIS SELPA, MARY BASS in her** 10 personal and official capacities as SELPA ADMINISTRATOR and DIRECTOR of 11 SPECIAL EDUCATION for CLOVIS USD (Doc. 88) 12 and DOES 1-10. 13 Defendants. Defendants have made a motion to exclude evidence and to disqualify Plaintiffs' counsel. 14 Doc. 88. After the filing of the reply, Plaintiffs asked for leave to file a surreply which Defendants 15 opposed. Docs. 93 and 94. Review of the briefing shows that the discussion concerning the 16 legality/illegality of recordings discussed only California state law and not federal law. 17 18 Additionally, there was no discussion of whether Plaintiffs could vicariously consent to the recordings on behalf of their child in this circumstance. Resolution of the motion requires analysis 19 of both these issues. Thus, the request to file a surreply is granted and both parties are ordered to 20 provide additional briefing on a simultaneous schedule. Both parties are directed to file 21 supplemental briefs by 4:00 PM on January 25, 2017. Both parties are directed to file 22 23 supplemental replies to the supplemental briefs by 4:00 PM on February 3, 2017. 24 IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 Dated: January 10, 2017 26 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE 27

28