

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARTHUR DUANE JACKSON, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

V.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:13-cv-01055-LJO-SAB

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

(ECF Nos. 44, 55, 58, 63)

Plaintiffs Arthur D. Jackson, Leonard M. Lujan, Marcus Jackson, Rodney Taylor, Lacedric Johnson, L.T. Belton, and Norman Johnson filed this class action on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated on July 9, 2013. Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Complaint on April 28, 2014.

On August 1, 2013, the magistrate judge assigned to this action issued a <u>Findings and Recommendations</u> recommending that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss be granted in part and denied in part. The Findings and Recommendations was served on all parties and contained notice that any objections were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. On August 13, 2014, Defendants filed Objections to the Findings and Recommendations.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

1	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. The Findings and Recommendations dated July 30, 2014 are adopted in full;	
3	2.	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART
4		as follows:
5		a. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' claims based upon the contracts
6		clause of 42 U.S.C. § 1981 is GRANTED and the claim is DISMISSED
7		from this action;
8		b. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is DENIED in all other respects.
9	3.	This action is referred back to the magistrate judge for further proceedings.
10		
11	SO ORDEREI Dated: August	19, 2014
12		/ <u>s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill</u> United States District Judge
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		