

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARTHUR DUANE JACKSON, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

V.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:13-cv-01055-LJO-SAB

ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY

On October 10, 2014, the Court conducted a scheduling conference in this matter. During the scheduling conference, the parties discussed a discovery plan whereby certain procedures would govern discovery in this matter and in the related case of Corey Lamar Smith, et al., v. Arnold Schwarzenegger, et al., Case No. 1:14-cv-00060-LJO-SAB. The parties sought to set procedures to avoid the need to conduct duplicative discovery in both cases. However, the parties were unable to agree to a discovery plan during the scheduling conference. Accordingly, the Court will order the parties to meet and confer to propose a discovery plan in both cases.

Based upon the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

- On or before November 7, 2014, the parties shall meet and confer regarding a discovery plan in this case and in the related case of <u>Corey Lamar Smith</u>, et al., v. <u>Arnold Schwarzenegger</u>, et al., Case No. 1:14-cv-00060-LJO-SAB.
- 2. On or before November 14, 2014, the parties shall submit a join discovery plan;

| 1 | 3. | On or before November 14, 2014, the parties may propose additional procedures |
|----|-------------------|--|
| 2 | | regarding the discovery plan which the parties could not jointly agree to, for the |
| 3 | | Court's consideration; |
| 4 | 4. | If any additional procedures are submitted by a party, any party opposing such |
| 5 | | procedures may submit a response on or before November 21, 2014; |
| 6 | 5. | On December 5, 2014, the Court shall conduct a hearing on the discovery plan. |
| 7 | | Depending on the submissions by the parties, the Court may require the parties to |
| 8 | | appear at the hearing in person; and |
| 9 | 6. | The submissions by the parties outlined above need not be filed but shall be |
| 10 | | submitted to the Court via e-mail at saborders@caed.uscourts.gov. |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 13 | Dated: Oc | tober 10, 2014 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |