1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	FRANK VALLES,	1:13-cv-01194-AWI-JLT-(HC)
12	Petitioner,	ORDER DISREGARDING APPLICATION TO PROCEED
13	VS.	IN FORMA PAUPERIS AS MOOT
14	DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY, et al.,	(Doc. 6)
15	Respondent.	
16	/	
17	Petitioner is a prisoner proceeding pro se in a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
	Section 2254.	
19	On August 15, 2013, petitioner filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis. Due to	
	the fact that the court authorized petitioner to proceed in forma pauperis in the present case on	
	August 1, 2013, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT petitioner's application of August 15,2013,	
	is DISREGARDED AS MOOT.	
23		
	IT IS SO ORDERED.	/a/ Ionnifon I Thursdon
25 26	Dated: <u>August 22, 2013</u>	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26 27		
27		
20		