1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6	FRESNO DIVISION		
7			
8 9	JEROME DAVIS and PRISCILLA HUMPHREY et al, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated,	Case No. 1:13-cv-01211-LJO-BAM	
10	Plaintiffs,		
11	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS IN FULL	
12	BROWN SHOE COMPANY, INC.,	(Docs. 52 and 63)	
13	BROWN SHOE COMPANY, INC., a New York corporation doing business as Famous Footwear, et al.,		
14	Defendants.		
15			
16	///		
17			
18	///		
19			
20	///		
21			
22	///		
23			
24			
25			
26	///		
27			
28			

On June 4, 2013, Plaintiffs Jerome Davis and Priscilla Humphrey, individually
 and on behalf of others similarly situated, initiated this class action in the Merced
 Superior Court alleging various state law wage and hour violations against Defendant
 Brown Shoe Company, Inc. (Doc. 1, pgs. 2-31). On August 2, 2013, Defendants
 removed this case to this Court claiming federal jurisdiction is proper pursuant to 28
 U.S.C. § 1332(d), the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"). (Doc. 1, pg. 1).

On February 20, 2014, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class
Action Settlement. (Doc. 52). Defendant filed a Joinder to the Motion on March 9,
2015. (Doc. 54). On April 24, 2015, the Magistrate Judge held a hearing and ordered
supplemental briefing and modifications to the preliminary settlement agreement. (Doc.
57). The parties filed the supplemental materials and amendments on May 1, 2015.
(Doc. 62).

On May 7, 2015, the Magistrate Judge issued Findings and Recommendations
recommending that the Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Class Action Settlement
be granted. (Doc. 63). The Findings and Recommendations were served on all of the
parties with instructions that any objections must be filed within fourteen (14) days.
Both parties have filed statements of non-opposition to the Findings and
Recommendations. (Docs. 63 and 64).

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(c), this Court has
conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the
Court finds that the Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and
proper analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Findings and
Recommendations dated May 7, 2015 (Doc. 63), are ADOPTED IN FULL. The Motion
for Preliminary Approval of Class Settlement is GRANTED, subject to the following
findings and orders:

26
1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the
27
28
28
29
29
20
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
28
28
29
29
20
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
27
28
28
28
29
29
20
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
27
27
28
28
28
29
29
20
20
21
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
27
27
27
27
27
28
28
28
29
29
20
20
21
21
21
22
21
22
23
24
25
25
26
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
28
28
29
29
20
20
21
21
21
22
23
24
24
25
25
26
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
27
28
28
29
29
20
20
20
21
21
21
21
21
22
23
24
24
25
26
27
27
27
27
28
28
29
29
29
20
20
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
21
<

Page 1

For clarity, the term "Defendant" means BG Retail, LLC
 d/b/a/ Famous Footwear, erroneously sued as Brown Shoe Company, Inc.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

26

27

28

3. The Settlement Class shall be conditionally certified for settlement purposes only and shall consist of all persons who are or were employed by Defendant in a non-exempt, hourly-paid position in any of Defendant's California Famous Footwear retail locations from June 4, 2009, until the date of Preliminary Approval.

4. The class action settlement set forth in the Class Action Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement"), entered into among the Parties and their counsel, is preliminarily approved as it appears to be proper, to fall within the range of reasonableness, to be the product of arm's-length and informed negotiations, to treat all Class Members fairly, and to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at or before the final approval hearing. The Court further finds that Plaintiffs' counsel conducted extensive investigation and research, and that they were able to reasonably evaluate Plaintiffs' position and the strengths and weaknesses of their claims and the ability to certify them. Plaintiffs have provided the Court with enough information about the nature and magnitude of the claims being settled, as well as the impediments to recovery, to make an independent assessment of the reasonableness of the terms to which the parties have agreed.

225. The Court also finds that settlement now will avoid23additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and24risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the action.

6. The Court preliminarily approves the Settlement Agreement, including all the terms and conditions set forth therein and the ClassSettlement Amount and allocation of payments.

7. The rights of any potential dissenters to the proposed

Settlement are adequately protected in that they may exclude themselves 1 2 from the Settlement and proceed with any alleged claims they may have 3 against Defendant, or they may object to the Settlement and appear before this Court. However, to do so they must follow the procedures outlined in 4 5 the Settlement Agreement and Notice of Class Action Settlement. Failure 6 to follow the procedures outlined in the Settlement Agreement and Notice of Class Action Settlement for making objections shall result in waiver and 7 8 the objector shall be forever foreclosed from challenging any of the terms of the Settlement. 9 10 8. By stipulation of the Parties, the Court hereby grants 11 Plaintiffs leave to file their proposed Second Amended Complaint, which 12 adds Jennifer Carrow and Sabrina Rowell as additional named plaintiffs. 13 Plaintiffs are to file the Second Amended Complaint within two days of 14 this Order. (Doc. 62, pgs. 45-75). By stipulation of the Parties, Defendant 15 shall not be required to file a responsive pleading to the Second Amended 16 Complaint, rather, Defendant's Answer to the First Amended Complaint 17 shall be deemed an Answer to the Second Amended Complaint. 18 9. The Court approves, as to form and content, the proposed 19 Notice of Class Action Settlement and Claim Form ("Notice Packet"). (Doc. 62. pg. 43). 20 21 10. The Court directs the mailing, by First-Class U.S. mail, of 22 the Notice Packets to Class Members in accordance with the schedule set 23 forth below and the other procedures described in the Settlement 24 Agreement. The Court finds that the method selected for communicating 25 the preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement to Class Members is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, constitutes due and 26 27 sufficient notice to all persons entitled to notice, and thereby satisfies due 28 process. Page 3

1	11. The Court appoint	nts Jerome Davis, Priscilla Humphrey,	
	Jennifer Carrow, and Sabrina I	Rowell as the Class Representatives for the	
	Settlement Class conditionally	certified by this Order. The Court appoints	
	Capstone Law APC as Class C	Counsel. The Court finds that counsel have	
		ting, certifying, and settling class actions,	
		nsel for the Class conditionally certified by	
	this Order.		
	12. The Court appro	ves and appoints Simpluris, Inc. as the	
	Claims Administrator, whose f	fees and expenses shall not exceed \$60,000.	
	13. The following da	ates shall govern for purposes of this	
	Settlement:		
	Date	Event	
	May 18, 2015 (or not later than 10 calendar	Last day for Defendant to produce the class	
	days after the Court grants preliminary	list to the Claims Administrator.	
	approval of the Settlement Agreement, if later)		
	May 28, 2015 (or not later than 10 calendar	Last day for the Claims Administrator to	
	days after the Defendant produces the class	mail Notice Packets to all Class Members.	
	list, if later)		
	June 26, 2015 (or not later than 30 calendar	Last day for the Claims Administrator to	
	days after the Claims Administrator mails	mail postcard reminders to non-responsive	
	the Notice Packets, if later)	Class Members.	
	July 16, 2015	Last day for Class Counsel to file the Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and	
		Class Representative Incentive Awards.	
	July 27, 2015 (or not later than 60 calendar	Last day for Class Members to mail Claim	
	days after the Claims Administrator mails	Forms, Requests for Exclusion, or	
	the Notice Packets, if later)	Objections to the Settlement.	
	August 14, 2015	Last day for Plaintiffs to file the Motion for	
		Final Approval of Class Action Settlement.	
	September 11, 2015 at 9:00 a.m., in	Hearing on Motion for Final Approval of	
	Courtroom 8, before Magistrate Judge	Class Action Settlement and Motion for	
	Barbara A. McAuliffe	Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Class Representative Enhancement Payments.	
		Representative Enhancement I dynams.	
	Page 4		
	I UGU T		

1 2	The Court expressly reserves the right to continue or adjourn the final approval	
3	hearing for time to time without further notice to the Class Members.	
4		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6	Dated: May 11, 2015 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
24		
26		
20		
28		
	Daga 5	
	Page 5	