

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VICTOR GONZALEZ LAMA,
Plaintiff,
v.
MARK. N. PAZIN, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:13-cv-01230-AWI-SKO (PC)
ORDER DISMISSING ACTION, WITH
PREJUDICE, FOR FAILURE TO STATE
A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF MAY
BE GRANTED
(Docs. 10 and 11)
ORDER THAT DISMISSAL IS SUBJECT
TO 28 U.S.C. § 1915(G)

Plaintiff Victor Gonzalez Lama (“Plaintiff”), a federal prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil action on July 19, 2013. Plaintiff, whose address of record is Giles W. Dalby Correctional Facility in Post, Texas, originally filed suit for negligence under California law. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). The Court dismissed Plaintiff’s complaint, with leave to amend, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction and failure to state a claim. Plaintiff filed an amended complaint on June 6, 2014, realleging his negligence claim and alleging an additional claim for violation of the Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution. 42 U.S.C. § 1983; 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

On March 2, 2015, the Court dismissed Plaintiff’s amended complaint for failure to state a claim and ordered Plaintiff to file a second amended complaint within thirty days. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A; 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). More than thirty days have passed and Plaintiff has not complied

1 with or otherwise responded to the Court's order.¹ As a result, there is no pleading on file which
2 sets forth any claims upon which relief may be granted.

3 Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), this action is
4 HEREBY DISMISSED, with prejudice, based on Plaintiff's failure to state a claim upon which
5 relief may be granted. This dismissal is subject to the "three-strikes" provision set forth in 28
6 U.S.C. § 1915(g). *Silva v. Di Vittorio*, 658 F.3d 1090, 1098-99 (9th Cir. 2011).

7
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: April 9, 2015



SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

¹ **Error! Main Document Only.** On March 20, 2015, the United States Postal Service returned the order as undeliverable. A notation on the envelope indicates that Plaintiff was released from custody. However, Plaintiff has not notified the Court of any change in his address. Absent such notice, service at a party's prior address is fully effective. Local Rule 182(f).