(HC) Jagade v. Sp	earman I	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	JOHN MELFORD JAGADE,	1:13-cv-01277-LJO-BAM (HC)
10	Petitioner,	
11	vs.	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
12	M.E. SPEARMAN,	(DOCUMENT #7)
13	Respondent.	
14		
15	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no	
16	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v. Heinze,	
17	258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984).	
18	However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage	
19	of the case if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254	
20	Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice require the	
21	appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that	
22	Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is denied.	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	Dated: September 23, 2013	/s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25		UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
26		
27		
28		

Doc. 12