

1 Housing Auth., 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action based
2 on a party's failure to prosecute an action, failure to obey a court order, or failure to
3 comply with local rules. See, e.g., Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54 (9th Cir. 1995)
4 (dismissal for noncompliance with local rule); Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-
5 61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with an order requiring amendment of
6 complaint); Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for
7 lack of prosecution and failure to comply with local rules).

8 Plaintiff has not responded to the Court's Order requiring that he file an amended
9 complaint by not later than September 30, 2013.

10 Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

11 1. Within fourteen (14) days of service of this order, Plaintiff shall either show
12 cause as to why his case should not be dismissed with prejudice for failure to comply
13 with the Court's August 30, 2013 Order, or file an amended complaint; and

14 2. If Plaintiff fails to show cause or file an amended complaint, the Court will
15 recommend that this action be dismissed, with prejudice, for failure to state a claim and
16 failure to prosecute, subject to the "three strikes" provision set forth in 28 U.S.C. §
17 1915(g). Silva v. Di Vittorio, 658 F.3d 1090 (9th Cir. 2011).

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19
20 Dated: October 28, 2013

/s/ Michael J. Seng
21 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE