1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	CECIL MESSER,	1:13-cv-01300-GSA-PC
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
12	VS.	DISMISS PURSUANT TO RULE 41 (Doc. 27.)
13	UNITED STATES PENITENTIARY	ORDER DISMISSING ACTION IN ITS
14	ATWATER FOOD SERVICE DEPARTMENT, et al.,	ENTIRETY WITHOUT PREJUDICE
15	Defendants.	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO CLOSE FILE
16		
17		

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Cecil Messer ("Plaintiff") is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with this civil rights action pursuant to Bivens vs. Six Unknown Agents, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). Plaintiff filed the Complaint commencing this action on August 16, 2013. (Doc. 1.) On October 15, 2013, Plaintiff consented to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), and no other parties have made an appearance. (Doc. 7.) Therefore, pursuant to Appendix A(k)(4) of the Local Rules of the Eastern District of California, the undersigned shall conduct any and all proceedings in the case until such time as reassignment to a District Judge is required. Local Rule Appendix A(k)(3).

On March 30, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion to dismiss this action "upon request from Plaintiff." (Motion, Doc. 27.) Plaintiff states that he "is not knowledgeable enough to continue this arguement (sic)." (Id.)

1	The court construes Plaintiff's motion as a motion to dismiss under Rule $41(a)(1)$. In		
2	Wilson v. City of San Jose, the Ninth Circuit explained:		
3	Under Rule $41(a)(1)$, a plaintiff has an absolute right to voluntarily diamias his action prior to convice by the defendent of an ensure on a motion for		
4	dismiss his action prior to service by the defendant of an answer or a motion for summary judgment. <u>Concha v. London</u> , 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995)		
5	(citing <u>Hamilton v. Shearson-Lehman American Express</u> , 813 F.2d 1532, 1534 (9th Cir. 1987)). A plaintiff may dismiss his action so long as the plaintiff files		
6	a notice of dismissal prior to the defendant's service of an answer or motion for summary judgment. The dismissal is effective on filing and no court order is required. Id. The plaintiff may dismiss some or all of the defendants, or some		
7	required. <u>Id.</u> The plaintiff may dismiss some or all of the defendants, or some or all of his claims, through a Rule 41(a)(1) notice. <u>Id.</u> ; <u>Pedrina v. Chun</u> , 987		
8	F.2d 608, 609-10 (9th Cir. 1993). The filing of a notice of voluntary dismissal with the court automatically terminates the action as to the defendants who are		
9	the subjects of the notice. <u>Concha</u> , 62 F.2d at 1506. Unless otherwise stated, the dismissal is ordinarily without prejudice to the plaintiff's right to commence		
10	another action for the same cause against the same defendants. <u>Id.</u> (citing		
	McKenzie v. Davenport-Harris Funeral Home, 834 F.2d 930, 934-35 (9th Cir. 1987)). Such a dismissal leaves the parties as though no action had been		
11	brought. <u>Id.</u>		
12	Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997). No defendant has filed an		
13	answer or motion for summary judgment in this action. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion shall be		
14	granted.		
15	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
16	1. Plaintiff's motion to dismiss this case is GRANTED;		
17	2. This action is DISMISSED in its entirety without prejudice; and		
18	3. The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to close the file in this case and adjust the		
19	docket to reflect voluntary dismissal of this action pursuant to Rule 41(a).		
20			
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
22	Dated: March 31, 2015 /s/ Gary S. Austin		
23	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2		